sjst.scst.edu.ly
Surman **J**ournal for **S**cience and **T**echnology
ISSN: Online (2790-5721) - Print (2790-5713)
مجلة صرمان للعلوم والتقنية
Vol **6**, No.1.Jan – May. 2024
Pages: 234 ~ 240

Applications of Soft Set Theory in medical Diagnosis

Elham Mhmoud Alghanudi

The Libyan Academy-Janzour, Libya

Om67444@gmail.com

Abstract

Soft set theory, introduced by Molodtsov in 1999, provides a flexible mathematical framework to handle uncertainty, vagueness, and incomplete information-challenges commonly faced in the medical domain. This paper explores the application of soft set theory in medical diagnosis, where symptoms may be imprecise, overlapping, or partially observed. By leveraging soft sets, healthcare professionals can develop more accurate and adaptable diagnostic models the study highlights a practical implementation of soft sets in diagnosing diseases based on symptom evaluation, demonstrating the effectiveness of soft decision-making approaches compared to classical methods.

Keywords: *Medical Diagnosis, Soft set, Basic Steps, Uncertainty Handling.*

1. Introduction

In the field of medical diagnosis, uncertainty is a constant obstacle. Patients may report vague or incomplete symptoms, diagnostic tests may yield inconclusive results, and diseases often share overlapping signs. Traditional mathematical models such as classical set theory, probability theory, and even fuzzy logic are often insufficient in capturing the full scope of uncertainty present in medical data.

Soft set theory, first proposed by D. Molodtsov in 1999, offers a novel approach to handling uncertainty without the need for prior membership functions or probability distributions. Unlike fuzzy or rough sets, soft sets are parameterized collections of approximate descriptions, making them particularly suitable for applications where information is partial, inconsistent, or dynamically changing. This paper focuses on the application of soft sets in medical diagnosis. We explore how soft set theory can be used to model patient symptoms and aid in disease classification. A case study is provided to illustrate the methodology in practice, followed by a discussion of the benefits and limitations of the approach.

2. Soft Sets

2.1 Definition: (Soft Set) Let U be an initial universe set and E a set of parameters or attributes with respect to U. Let $P(U)$ denote the power set of U and $A \subseteq E$. A pair (F, A) is called a soft set over U, where F is a mapping given by $F: A \rightarrow P(U)$. In other words, a soft set (F, A) over U is a parameterized family of subsets of U. For $e \in A$, $F(e)$ may be considered as the set of e-elements or e-approximate elements of the soft sets (F, A). Thus (F, A) is defined as:

$$(F, A) = \{F(e) \in P(U): e \epsilon E, F(e) = \emptyset \text{ if } e \notin A\}.$$

For the purpose of storing soft sets in computers, one may need the representation of a soft set in the form of a matrix or a table. The (i, j) is the entry in table

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ \ u_i \in F(e_j) \\ 0 & Otherwise \end{cases}.$$

2.2 Example: Suppose the following:

U is the set of cars under consideration. E is the set of parameters, where each parameter is a word or a sentence; as follows:

$$E = \{\text{expensive, cheap, fast, old, new}\}.$$

In this case to defined a soft set means to point out expensive car, cheap car, fast car, old car and new car, thus the soft set (F, E) describes different of cars. Now, we consider this example in more detail:

Suppose that there are six cars in the universe U given by:

$U = \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$, where:

e_1 stands for expensive,

e_2 stands for cheap,

e_3 stands for fast,

e_4 stands for old,

e_5 stands for new.

Suppose that:

$F(e_1) = \{c_2, c_4, c_6\}$,

$F(e_2) = \{c_1, c_3, c_5\}$,

$F(e_3) = \{c_3, c_4, c_5\}$,

$F(e_4) = \{c_1, c_3, c_5, c_6\}$,

$F(e_5) = \{c_2, c_4\}$.

Then the soft set (F, E) is given by:

$$(F, E) = \{(e_1, F(e_1)), (e_2, F(e_2)), (e_3, F(e_3), (e_4, F(e_4), (e_5, F(e_5)\}$$

$$= \{(e_1, \{c_2, c_4, c_6\}), (e_2, \{c_1, c_3, c_5\}), (e_3, \{c_3, c_4, c_5\}), (e_4, \{c_1, c_3, c_5\}), (e_5, \{c_2, c_4\})\}.$$

Note that we can represent the soft set (F, E) in the following table:

Tabular representation of a soft set (F, E)

U	Expensive	Cheap	Fast	Old	New
c_1	0	1	0	1	0
c_2	1	0	0	0	1
c_3	0	1	1	1	0
c_4	1	0	1	0	1
c_5	0	1	1	1	0
c_6	1	0	0	1	0

2.3 Key Properties:

- Ability to represent imprecise human knowledge.
- Capable of incorporating multiple opinions without contradiction.
- Easy integration with fuzzy logic or neural networks.

3. Applications in Medical Diagnosis

Medical diagnosis is one of the most critical and complex decision-making tasks in healthcare. Physicians often rely on a combination of symptoms, patient history, lab results, and expert knowledge to determine the presence or absence of a disease. However, these inputs are frequently imprecise or incomplete. Soft set theory provides a powerful framework for modeling this uncertainty, particularly when exact numerical data is unavailable.

3.1 Problem Definition:

The goal is to determine whether a patient has Covid-19 based on the following symptoms:
Cough, Fever, Headache, Shortness of Breath.

3.2 Basic Steps

- Define the universe U as the set of all diseases or medical conditions.
- Define the parameter set E as the set of symptoms.
- Construct a soft set by associating each symptom with a subset of diseases it may indicate.
- Use mathematical operations (such as intersections or unions) to narrow down the likely diagnoses based on a patient's symptoms.

3.3 Simple Example

Let $U =$\{Cold, Flu, Covid-19, Pneumonia\}be a universe set and $E =$\{Cough, Fever, Headache, Shortness of Breath\} be a set of parameters where:

G_1: Cold,

G_2: Flu,

G_3: Covid,

G_4: Pneumonia.

And

e_1: Cough,

e_2: Fever,

e_3: Headache,

e_4: Shortness of Breath.

Suppose that:

$F(e_1) = \{G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4\}$,

$F(e_2) = \{G_2, G_3, G_4\}$,

$F(e_3) = \{G_2, G_3\}$,

$F(e_4) = \{G_3, G_4\}$.

Patient is symptoms:

$A =$ {Cough, fever, shortness of breath}.

Intersection of sets:

$$F(e_1) \cap F(e_2) \cap F(e_4) = \{G_3, G_4\}$$

The diagnosis is narrowed down to Covid-19 or pneumonia. Further tests or parameters may help identify the exact condition.

3.4 Methodology

The methodology adopted in this study is designed to demonstrate how soft set theory can systematically handle uncertainty and imprecision in medical diagnosis. The process begins with defining the universal set (U), representing all possible diseases under consideration. For this study, the universe includes {Cold, Flu, Covid-19, Pneumonia}. The parameter set (E) represents symptoms or clinical indicators relevant to diagnosis—such as cough, fever, headache, and shortness of breath.

A soft set (F, E) is then constructed, where each symptom is mapped to a subset of diseases it may indicate. For instance, the symptom "cough" may relate to all four diseases, while "shortness of breath" might only correspond to Covid-19 and pneumonia. This mapping allows for flexible representation of medical uncertainty without requiring probability or membership functions.

Next, patient data is processed by identifying the subset of symptoms presented. The intersection operation is applied across corresponding subsets in the soft set to isolate possible diseases that align with the observed symptoms. This approach mirrors a physician's reasoning process—narrowing down diagnoses based on shared symptom patterns.

To evaluate the model's performance, sample patient cases were analyzed using simulated data sets reflecting typical symptom combinations. The model's outputs were compared to standard diagnostic expectations to assess accuracy and interpretability.

The strength of this methodology lies in its simplicity and adaptability. It can be extended to include additional parameters—such as symptom severity, laboratory findings, or demographic factors—without modifying the overall structure. This modular nature makes the soft set approach especially suitable for developing intelligent diagnostic systems that assist clinicians in decision-making under uncertainty.

4. Analysis and Results

The proposed model demonstrates that soft set theory offers a practical and systematic approach for addressing the inherent uncertainty present in medical diagnosis. By associating each symptom with potential diseases through parameterized subsets, the model efficiently narrows diagnostic possibilities, even when data is incomplete or ambiguous. For instance, when applied to a case involving overlapping symptoms such as cough, fever, and shortness of breath, the system successfully reduced the diagnostic set to two probable diseases—Covid-19 and pneumonia—illustrating its capacity to filter and prioritize potential outcomes.

The results reveal several strengths of the soft set framework. First, the model operates independently of predefined probability values or membership functions, distinguishing it from probabilistic and fuzzy-based models. This independence allows for flexible adaptation to various diagnostic scenarios without the need for statistical training data. Second, the computational simplicity of soft sets ensures that medical practitioners can apply the method in resource-limited environments without requiring advanced computational systems. Third, the modularity of the framework enables the inclusion of additional parameters—such as symptom severity, laboratory findings, or patient history—without altering the underlying logic of the system.

Quantitatively, the use of intersection and union operations across symptom-based sets enhances diagnostic accuracy by dynamically reducing the decision space. Qualitatively, the approach aligns with the heuristic reasoning employed by physicians, who often work with uncertain and qualitative patient descriptions. The method's ability to update and refine diagnoses as new symptoms emerge demonstrates its practical relevance to real-time clinical decision-making.

In summary, the analysis confirms that soft set theory is an efficient, extendable, and user-friendly method for supporting medical diagnosis. Its interpretability, minimal computational requirements, and strong adaptability make it a valuable addition to decision-support methodologies in healthcare. Future implementations combining this model with artificial intelligence systems or fuzzy extensions could further improve its predictive precision and integration into modern diagnostic workflows.

5. Conclusion

Soft set theory offers a promising alternative for modeling uncertainty in medical diagnosis, especially in early stages where symptoms are vague or overlapping. Its ability to handle qualitative data and dynamically reduce diagnostic options makes it well-suited for clinical decision support systems. With further integration into Al and healthcare platforms, soft set-based systems could significantly enhance diagnostic efficiency and reliability.

Future Recommendation:

- Integrating soft sets into hospital decision support systems.
- Developing interactive diagnostic tools based on this model.
- Combining the model with lab test data for higher diagnostic precision.

6. References

1. Molodtsov, D. (1999), Soft set theory-first result. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 37(4-5), 19-31

2. Maji, p, k., Biswas, R., & Roy, A. R. (2003), Soft set theory. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 45(4-5), 555-562.

3. Ali, M. I., feng, F., Liu, X., Min, w. k., & Shabir, M. (2009). On some new operations in soft set theory. Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 57(9), 1547-1553.

4. Cagman, N., & Enginoglu, S. (2010). Soft set theory and uni-int decision making. European journal of operational research, 207(2), 848-855.

5. Roy, A. R., & Maji, P.K. (2007). A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach to decision making problems. Journal of computational and Applied Mathematics, 203(2), 412-418.

6. Zhang, L. et al. (2017). Soft set -based decision support systems in medical diagnosis. International journal of computational intelligence Systems.